

Prüfbericht-Nr.: Auftrags-Nr.: 168441926 Seite 1 von 32 CN24XVP9 001 Order no.: Page 1 of 32 Test report no.:

Kunden-Referenz-Nr.: 2438547 Auftragsdatum: 2024-04-16

Order date: Client reference no.:

Auftraggeber: Shandong Huison Electronics Technology Co., Ltd.

Client: Hanxin Industrial Park, Keda West Road, Yicheng District, Shandong Province, China

Prüfgegenstand: Lithium ion battery

Test item:

Bezeichnung / Typ-Nr.: RGS51100 51.2V 100AH

Identification / Type no.:

Auftrags-Inhalt: Test Report

Order content.

Prüfgrundlage: UL 9540A: 2019 (Fourth Edition)

Test specification:

Wareneingangsdatum: 2024-04-16

Date of sample receipt:

Prüfmuster-Nr.: A003672973-001 Test sample no:

Prüfzeitraum: 2024-04-28 - 2024-04-29

Testing period:

Ort der Prüfung: See to clause 1.1 of main

Place of testing: report

Prüflaboratorium: See to clause 1.1 of main

Testing laboratory: report

Prüfergebnis*: Test result*:

See main report

genehmigt von:

KIDIK

authorized by:

Ausstellungsdatum:

Issue date: 2024-06-23 Date: 2024-06-23 Jason Zhu Xun Yu **Project Engineer Stellung** / Position: Reviewer Stellung / Position:

Sonstiges /

erstellt von:

created by:

Datum:

Other: tests. This test report documents the findings of examination conducted on the delivered product mentioned above only.

This report does not entitle the applicant to carry any safety mark on this or similar products. Further for sales or other application purposes of the tested product, any reference to TÜV Rheinland or a test through TÜV Rheinland is only

This report does not evidence compliance of the provided sample with the relevant standards but only with the referred

permissible with prior written consent of TÜV Rheinland.

Zustand des Prüfgegenstandes bei Anlieferung: Prüfmuster vollständig und unbeschädigt Condition of the test item at delivery: Test item complete and undamaged

* Legende: P(ass) = entspricht o.g. Prüfgrundlage(n) F(ail) = entspricht nicht o.g. Prüfgrundlage(n) N/A = nicht anwendbar N/T = nicht getestet N/T = not tested* Legend: F(ail) = failed a.m. test specification(s) P(ass) = passed a.m. test specification(s)N/A = not applicable

Dieser Prüfbericht bezieht sich nur auf das o.g. Prüfmuster und darf ohne Genehmigung der Prüfstelle nicht auszugsweise vervielfältigt werden. Dieser Bericht berechtigt nicht zur Verwendung eines Prüfzeichens.

This test report only relates to the a. m. test sample. Without permission of the test center this test report is not permitted to be duplicated in extracts. This test report does not entitle to carry any test mark.



Test report no.:

Seite 2 von 32 Page 2 of 32

Anmerkungen Remarks

Alle eingesetzten Prüfmittel waren zum angegebenen Prüfzeitraum gemäß eines festgelegten Kalibrierungsprogramms unseres Prüfhauses kalibriert. Sie entsprechen den in den Prüfprogrammen hinterlegten Anforderungen. Die Rückverfolgbarkeit der eingesetzten Prüfmittel ist durch die Einhaltung der Regelungen unseres Managementsystems gegeben.

Detaillierte Informationen bezüglich Prüfkonditionen, Prüfequipment und Messunsicherheiten sind im Prüflabor vorhanden und können auf Wunsch bereitgestellt werden.

The equipment used during the specified testing period was calibrated according to our test laboratory calibration program. The equipment fulfils the requirements included in the relevant standards. The traceability of the test equipment used is ensured by compliance with the regulations of our management system. Detailed information regarding test conditions, equipment and measurement uncertainty is available in the test laboratory and could be provided on request.

Wie vertraglich vereinbart, wurde dieses Dokument nur digital unterzeichnet. Der TÜV Rheinland hat nicht überprüft, welche rechtlichen oder sonstigen diesbezüglichen Anforderungen für dieses Dokument gelten. Diese Überprüfung liegt in der Verantwortung des Benutzers dieses Dokuments. Auf Verlangen des Kunden kann der TÜV Rheinland die Gültigkeit der digitalen Signatur durch ein gesondertes Dokument bestätigen. Diese Anfrage ist an unseren Vertrieb zu richten. Eine Umweltgebühr für einen solchen zusätzlichen Service wird erhoben. Informationen zur Verifizierung der Authentizität unserer Dokumente erhalten Sie über folgenden Link: Einführung in digitale Signaturen

As contractually agreed, this document has been signed digitally only. TUV Rheinland has not verified and unable to verify which legal or other pertaining requirements are applicable for this document. Such verification is within the responsibility of the user of this document. Upon request by its client, TUV Rheinland can confirm the validity of the digital signature by a separate document. Such request shall be addressed to our Sales department. An environmental fee for such additional service will be charged. For information on verifying the authenticity of our documents, please visit the following link: Introduction to Digital Signature

Prüfklausel mit der Note * wurden an qualifizierte Unterauftragnehmer vergeben und sind unter der jeweiligen Prüfklausel des Berichts beschrieben.

Abweichungen von Prüfspezifikation(en) oder Kundenanforderungen sind in der jeweiligen Prüfklausel im Bericht aufgeführt.

Test clauses with remark of * are subcontracted to qualified subcontractors and descripted under the respective test clause in the report.

Deviations of testing specification(s) or customer requirements are listed in specific test clause in the report.

Die Entscheidungsregel für Konformitätserklärungen basierend auf numerischen Messergebnisen in diesem Prüfbericht basiert auf der "Null-Grenzwert-Regel" und der "Einfachen Akzeptanz" gemäß ILAC G8:2019 und IEC Guide 115:2021, es sei denn, in der auf Seite 1 dieses Berichts genannten angewandten Norm ist etwas anderes festgelegt oder vom Kunden gewünscht. Dies bedeutet, dass die Messunsicherheit nicht berücksichtigt wird und daher auch nicht im Prüfbericht angegeben wird. Zu weiteren Informationen bezueglich des Risikos durch diese Entscheidungsregel siehe ILAC G8:2019.

The decision rule for statements of conformity, based on numerical measurement results, in this test report is based on the "Zero Guard Band Rule" and "Simple Acceptance" in accordance with ILAC G8:2019 and IEC Guide 115:2021, unless otherwise specified in the applied standard mentioned on Page 1 of this report or requested by the customer. This means that measurement uncertainty is not taken in account and hence also not declared in the test report. For additional information to the resulting risk based of this decision rule please refer to ILAC G8:2019.



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 3 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 3 of 32

INTRODUCTION

Model fire codes and energy storage system standards require energy storage systems to comply with UL 9540, which in turn requires battery cells and modules to comply with UL 1973. Compliance with these standards reduces the risk of batteries and battery energy storage systems (BESS) creating fire, shock or personal injury hazards. However, they don't evaluate the ability of the BESS installed as intended and with fire suppression mechanisms in place if necessary, from contributing to a fire or explosion in the end use installations.

To address these fire and explosion hazards associated with the installation of a BESS, the fire and other codes require energy storage systems to meet certain location, separation, fire suppression and other criteria. Those codes also provide a means to provide an equivalent level of safety based on large scale fire testing of anticipated BESS installations.

UL 9540A is intended to provide a test method that can be used as a basis for validating the safety of a BESS installation in lieu of meeting the specific criteria provided in those codes. The data generated can be used to determine the fire and explosion protection required for installation of a BESS.

The test method is initiated through the establishment of a thermal runaway condition that leads to combustion within the BESS. The test method outlined in UL 9540A consists of several steps – cell level testing, module level testing, unit level testing and installation level testing. The cell and module level testing steps are information gathering steps to inform the unit and installation level testing.

The following outlines the information that may gathered as part of the testing:

- a) Cell level An individual cell fails in a manner that leads to thermal runaway and fire through a suitable method such as external heating. Data such as off-gassing contents, temperatures at venting and temperatures at thermal runaway are recorded.
- b) Module level One or more cells within a BESS module fail in the manner determined during the cell level testing. Data such as fire propagation in the module, temperatures on the failed cells and surrounding cells, off-gassing contents and heat release data are gathered.
- c) Unit level A complete BESS is installed surrounded by target (e.g. dummy) BESS and walls separated at a distance as intended in its installation. The module level test is repeated on a module located in the BESS in the most unfavorable location. Data such as temperature within the BESS, on surrounding walls and target BESS; incident heat flux on walls and target BESS; observation of fire propagation from BESS to target units and walls as well as observance of explosions or evidence of re-ignition within the BESS; and heat release and off-gassing contents are gathered.
- d) Installation level This test is a repeat of the unit level test with the test conducted within a test room and with the intended fire suppression system installed as well as any overhead cables (that can lead to fire propagation) installed. This test is intended to validate the fire suppression system for the BESS installation. Data such as temperature within the BESS, on surrounding walls and target BESS; incident heat flux on walls and target BESS; fire propagation from the BESS to target units, walls or overhead cables and any observable explosion incidents or re-ignition within the BESS; and off-gassing contents (if needed) and heat release are gathered.



Test Report No.:

Seite 4 von 32 Page 4 of 32

Contents

1 GEN	ERAL INFORMATION	4
1.1 TE	ST SPECIFICATION	4
1.2 GE	NERAL REMARKS	4
1.3 RE	VISION INFORMATION	4
1.4 SU	MMARY OF THE TEST	5
1.5 DE	FINITIONS	6
2 GEN	ERAL PRODUCT INFORMATION	7
2.1 CE	L	7
2.2.1	Product information and parameters	
2.2.2	Cell level test information	
2.2 Mc	DULE	8
2.2.1	Product information and parameters	8
2.2.2	Diagram with overall dimension	
3 MOD	ULE LEVEL TEST (SECTION 8 OF UL 9540A)	9
3.1 GE	NERAL	۵
J. 1 GE	NERAL	
	MPLE PREPARATION	_
3.2 SA		. 10
3.2 SA	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST	.10
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST Thermal runaway test method description	.10 .11 .11
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST Thermal runaway test method description Observations and records Temperature measurements	.10 .11 .11 .13
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST Thermal runaway test method description Observations and records Temperature measurements EMICAL HEAT RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT	.10 .11 .11 .13 .14
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST Thermal runaway test method description Observations and records Temperature measurements EMICAL HEAT RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT. Test method	.10 .11 .11 .13 .14 .16
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST Thermal runaway test method description Observations and records Temperature measurements EMICAL HEAT RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT Test method Test result	.10 .11 .11 .13 .14 .16 .16
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 SM	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST. Thermal runaway test method description Observations and records. Temperature measurements EMICAL HEAT RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT Test method. Test result OKE RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT	.10 .11 .11 .13 .14 .16 .16
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 SM 3.5.1	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST. Thermal runaway test method description Observations and records Temperature measurements EMICAL HEAT RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT Test method Test result OKE RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT Test method	.10 .11 .11 .13 .14 .16 .16 .18
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 SM 3.5.1 3.5.2	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST. Thermal runaway test method description Observations and records. Temperature measurements EMICAL HEAT RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT Test method. Test result OKE RELEASE RATE MEASUREMENT Test method. Test result Test method. Test result	.10 .11 .13 .14 .16 .16 .18 .19 .19
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 SM 3.5.1 3.5.2 3.6 GA	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST	.10 .11 .13 .14 .16 .16 .18 .19 .20
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 SM 3.5.1 3.5.2 3.6 GA 3.6.1	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST	.10 .11 .11 .13 .14 .16 .16 .19 .19 .20 .21
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 SM 3.5.1 3.5.2 3.6 GA 3.6.1 3.6.2	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST	.10 .11 .13 .14 .16 .16 .19 .20 .21
3.2 SA 3.3 MC 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 CH 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 SM 3.5.1 3.5.2 3.6 GA 3.6.1 3.6.2 3.6.3	MPLE PREPARATION DULE LEVEL THERMAL RUNAWAY TEST	10 11 13 14 16 19 19 20 21 21



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 5 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 5 of 32

1 General information

1.1 Test specification

Standard: ANSI/CAN/UL 9540A: 2019 (Fourth Edition)

Test Method for Evaluating Thermal Runaway Fire Propagation in Battery Energy Storage Systems

This report presents the result of module level tests of UL 9540A: 2019.

All tests were conducted at TUV Rheinland (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. and TUV Rheinland's partner labs that were under supervision of TÜV Rheinland's engineer.

Testing period: 2024.04.28 to 2024.04.29

Refer to Clause 3 for test and measurement instruments.

1.2 General remarks

This report is descriptive and provide the test data only.


The test results presented in this report relate only to the object tested.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the testing laboratory.

Throughout this report a \square comma / \boxtimes point is used as the decimal separator.

1.3 Revision information

New report, not applicable.



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 6 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 6 of 32

1.4 Summary of the test

Video records of the test from 2 directions were provided in .mp4 format.

One external heater was place in the module to initiating the thermal runaway inside module. The initiating cells were heated at a rate of 4°C to 7°C per minute until the cell thermal runaway.

White smoke was observed during test. No flying debris or explosive discharge of gases during test. No sparks, electrical arcs, or other electrical events during test. No external flaming observed.

The battery pack weight measured was 52.6kg (before test) and 49.6kg (after test).

Measured peak chemical heat release rate HRR was 9.24kW.

Measured total heat release through the test THR was 7.019MJ.

Measured peak smoke release rate SRR was 2.8555m²/s.

Total smoke release TSR was 2666.84m²

Total hydrocarbons gas was 1258.07L.

Detail information see relevant clause of this report.



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 7 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 7 of 32

1.5 Definitions

CELL – The basic functional electrochemical unit containing an assembly of electrodes, electrolyte, separators, container, and terminals. It is a source of electrical energy by direct conversion of chemical energy.

MODULE – A subassembly that is a component of a BESS that consists of a group of cells or electrochemical capacitors connected together either in a series and/or parallel configuration (sometimes referred to as a block) with or without protective devices and monitoring circuitry.

UNIT – A frame, rack or enclosure that consists of a functional BESS which includes components and subassemblies such a cells, modules, battery management systems, ventilation devices and other ancillary equipment.

BATTERY SYSTEM (BS) – Is a component of a BESS and consists of one or more modules typically in a rack configuration, controls such as the BMS and components that make up the system such as cooling systems, disconnects and protection devices.

BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM (BESS) – Stationary equipment that receives electrical energy and then utilizes batteries to store that energy to supply electrical energy at some future time. The BESS, at a minimum consists of one or more modules, a power conditioning system (PCS), battery management system (BMS) and balance of plant components.

- a) INITIATING BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM UNIT (INITIATING BESS) A BESS unit which has been equipped with resistance heaters in order to create the internal fire condition necessary for the installation level test.
- b) TARGET BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM UNIT (TARGET BESS) The enclosure and/or rack hardware that physically supports and/or contains the components that comprise a BESS. The target BESS unit does not contain energy storage components, but serves to enable instrumentation to measure the thermal exposure from the initiating BESS.

Note: Depending upon the configuration and design of the BESS (e.g. the BESS is composed of multiple separate parts within separate enclosures), the unit level test can be done at battery system level. In such case, the BESS is be read as BS throughout this report.

NON-RESIDENTIAL USE – Intended for use in commercial, industrial or utility owned locations.

RESIDENTIAL USE – In accordance with this standard, intended for use in one or two family homes and town homes and individual dwelling units of multi-family dwellings.

THERMAL RUNAWAY- The incident when an electrochemical cell increases its temperature through self-heating in an uncontrollable fashion. The thermal runaway progresses when the cell's generation of heat is at a higher rate than the heat it can dissipate. This may lead to fire, explosion and gas evolution. STATE OF CHARGE (SOC) – The available capacity in a BESS, pack, module or cell expressed as a percentage of rated capacity.



lukte lucts	IUV Rheinland®
rüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 (est Report No.:	Seite 8 von 32 Page 8 of 32
General Product Information	
2.1 Cell	
2.2.1 Product information and para	meters
The product information and parameters are	e provided by the client as below.
Manufacturer:	JIANGXI GANFENG BATTERY TECHNOLOGY CO.,
	LTD.
	Nanyuan Road, Hi-Tech Industrial Development Zone,
	Xinyu 338000 Jiangxi, P.R. China
Model number:	48173125-100Ah
Chemistry:	☐ LiFePO4 ☐ NMC ☐ NCA ☐ LTO
	Other:
Physical configuration:	□ Prismatic □ Cylindrical □ Pouch
	Weight(kg): ≤2.30
Electrical rating :	Rated capacity (Ah): 100
	Nominal voltage (V): 3.2
Standard charge method:	Charge current (A): 50
	Standard Charge Voltage (V): 3.65
	Cut off current (A): 5
Standard discharge method:	Discharge current (A): 50
	2.5 (0°C <t≤60°c) End of discharge voltage (V):</t≤60°c)
	2.0 (-20°C≤T≤0°C)
Maximum continuous charge current (A):	100

100

☐ No

Maximum continuous discharge current (A):

Compliance with UL 1973:



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 9 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 9 of 32

2.2.2 Cell level test information

Cell level thermal runaway test information is copy from TUV Rheinland UL 9540A cell level test report No.: CN228KRW 001

Teport No.: CN220KKW 001		
Thermal Runaway Methodology:	External heater applied on one side of	
	the cell with surface heating rate at	
	about of 5°C per minute until thermal	
	runaway triggered.	
Average Cell Surface Temperature at Gas Venting:	202.0°C	
Average Cell Surface Temperature Start Thermal Runaway:	272.4°C	

2.2 Module

2.2.1 Product information and parameters

The product information and parameters are provided by the client as below.


Compliance with UL 1973:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
	End of discharge:	The lowest cell voltage reaches 2.5V	
Standard discharge method:	Discharge Voltage:	40V	
	End of charge:	The highest cell voltage reaches 3.65 V	
Standard charge method:	Charge Voltage:	57.6V	
	Nominal voltage:	51.2Vdc	
Electrical rating:	Rated capacity: 100 Ah		
	Polyurethane foam, see Figure 4 for install location details.		
Separation between cells:	Thermal insulation she	et: Aerogel Heat insulation pad and	
Cooling method:	Air cooling		
	Cells in series/parallel:	1P16S	
	Weight:	≤50kg	
	A mica plate is installed	d under the top enclosure.	
Physical configuration:	Metal base, the other s	sides are non-metallic material.	
Model number:	RGS51100 51.2V 100AH		
Manufacturer:	Shandong Huison Electronics Technology Co.,Ltd Hanxin Industrial Park, Keda West Road, Yicheng District, Shandong Province, China		



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 10 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 10 of 32

2.2.2 Diagram with overall dimension

Figure 1 Diagram with overall dimension



Module level test (section 8 of UL 9540A)

3.1 General

This testing is conducted on battery modules, which are in turn installed in an enclosure or in an open rack system to form a BESS unit.

This test uses applied stresses determined during the cell level test to force a selected number of battery cells within the module into thermal runaway. If there is fire that results from the cell being driven into thermal runaway, the fire is allowed to progress within the module.

The test measures the chemical heat release rate, smoke release rate, maximum temperature, and vent gas composition; and documents the module enclosure integrity after the test, any explosions or hazardous ejection of parts outside of the module enclosure, and the extent and duration of any flame propagation outside of the module.

The module level testing establishes a base line fire test performance that can be evaluated against the fire performance of other battery modules the BESS manufacturer may choose to use within the system. Testing can be discontinued after the module level testing if the effects of thermal runaway (fire and explosion) are contained by the module design and the cell vent gas (as determined by the cell level testing) is non-flammable.



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 11 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 11 of 32

3.2 Sample preparation

Module sample was conditioned, prior to testing, through charge and discharge cycles of 2 cycles to verify that the module was functional.

Each cycle was defined as a charge to 100% SOC and allowed to rest several minutes and then discharged to an end of discharge voltage (EODV) determined by the manufacturer. Refer to 2.2.1 for charge and discharge profile.

The module sample was put in a climate chamber during charge and discharge. The ambient is kept at 25°C±2°C and 50%±5% R.H.




Figure 2 Sample cycling curve



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 12 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 12 of 32

3.3 Module level thermal runaway test

3.3.1 Thermal runaway test method description

The module to be tested was charged to 100% SOC and allow stabilizing for a minimum of 1 h and a maximum of 8 h before the start of the test.

The module consisted of 16 cells 1P16S. All cells in the pack were numbered from Cell 1 to Cell 16 as below.

External heating method was used to initiate thermal runaway in the module. One metal heater was fitted on cell (rated 110V ac/195 W, size 150*100).

Total 11 thermocouples (type K, 24AWG) were attached at the center of the wider side surface of cell and below the hater film in the module. See Figure 3 and Figure 4 for the detail locations.

Voltage of the module was monitored during test.

Figure 3 Internal view of module





Figure 4 Cell number, location of heater, thermal insulation sheet (Aerogel Heat insulation pad and Polyurethane foam) and thermocouple





Prüfbericht - Nr.: Test Report No.:	CN24XVP9 001	Seite 13 von 32 Page 13 of 32
7°C/min heating rate. A	sed to control the voltage supply to the hadditional one thermocouple on the center the cell surface temperature to the control	er of initiating cell surface below heater
The initiating cells were	heated at a rate of 4°C to 7°C per minut mperature exceed the set heating rate, the	e until the cell thermal runaway.



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 14 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 14 of 32

3.3.2 Observations and records

Ambient conditions at the initiation of the test .:		24.8°C, 65% R.H.		
Sample number	:	A003672973-001		
Open circuit voltage before test (V)	:	55.91V		
Weight before test (kg)	:	52.6kg		
Time initiating the test	:	2024.4.28 14:09:10		
Observations during test	:	The first thermal runaway cell (Cell 4) and smoke release at 15:16 The second thermal runaway cell (Cell 5) at 15:18. The third thermal runaway cell (Cell 6) at 15:20. The fourth thermal runaway cell (Cell 3) at 15:26. The five thermal runaway cell (Cell 7) at 15:28. The six thermal runaway cell (Cell 2) at 15:34. The seven thermal runaway cell (Cell 8) at 15:48. The eight thermal runaway cell (Cell 1) at 16:02. No flying debris or explosive discharge of gases during test. No sparks, electrical arcs, or other electrical events during test. No external flaming observe.		
Open circuit voltage after test (V)	•	26.24V		
Weight after test (kg)	:	49.6kg		
Weight loss (kg)	:	3kg		




Test Report No.:

Seite 15 von 32 *Page 15 of* 32

3.3.3 Temperature measurements

Figure 5 The cells temperature and module voltage VS time curve



Thermocouple no.	Location	Maximum temp. °C
T1	Heater	553.1
T2	Surface of cell 5	648.9
T3	Surface of cell 4	422.5
T4	Surface of cell 3	422.4
T5	Surface of cell 2	463.6
T6	Surface of cell 7	493.9
T7	Surface of cell 8	372.6
T8	Surface of cell 4	334.8
Т9	Surface of cell 5	894.8
T10	Surface of cell 13	553.1


Voltage no.	Name	Voltage(V)
DV1	Voltage of Module	54.7V to 0 V
V_1	Voltage of Cell6	3.409V to 0.231 V
V_2	Voltage of Cell5	3.418V to 0.206 V
V_3	Voltage of Cell4	3.408V to 0.231 V
V_4	Voltage of Cell3	3.416V to 0.208 V



Test Report No.:

Seite 16 von 32 *Page 16 of* 32

Figure 6 The enclosure temperature VS time curve




Thermocouple no.	Location	Maximum temp. °C
T11	Top surface of the Enclosure	236.5
T12	Top surface of the Enclosure	203.2
T13	Top surface of the Enclosure	112.9
T14	Top surface of the Enclosure	165.8
T15	Top surface of the Enclosure	235.4
T16	Top surface of the Enclosure	192.3
T17	Right surface of the Enclosure	70.7
T18	Right surface of the Enclosure	39.1
T19	Right surface of the Enclosure	96.7
T21	Back surface of the Enclosure	77.4
T22	Back surface of the Enclosure	168.3
T23	Back surface of the Enclosure	27
T24	Back surface of the Enclosure	105.8
T25	Left surface of the Enclosure	104.5
T26	T26 Left surface of the Enclosure 87.0	
T27	Front surface of the Enclosure	86.9



Prüfbericht - Nr.:	CN24XVP9 001	Seite 17 von 32
Test Report No.:		Page 17 of 32

T28	Front surface of the Enclosure	69.6
T29	Front surface of the Enclosure	48.9
T30	Botton surface of the Enclosure	58.8

Figure 7 The cells and module voltage VS time curve



Name	V_1	V_2	V_3	V_4	DV1
Begin of the test	3.409V	3.418 V	3.408 V	3.416 V	54.7 V
End of the test	0.231V	0.206 V	0.231 V	0.208 V	0 V*

Remark:

3.4 Chemical heat release rate measurement

3.4.1 Test method

The chemical heat release rates were measured by an oxygen consumption calorimeter measurement system consisting of a paramagnetic oxygen analyzer, non-dispersive infrared carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide analyzer, velocity probe, and a Type K thermocouple.

The instrumentations are located in the exhaust duct of the heat release rate calorimeter.

The chemical heat release rate was calculated at each of the flows as follows:

^{*} Due to the abnormal voltage sampling line in the test, the actual voltage can not be picked up, and the actual voltage of the module after the test is 26.24V



Test Report No.:

Seite 18 von 32 Page 18 of 32

$$HRR_{1} = \left[E \times \varphi - (E_{co} - E) \times \frac{1 - \varphi}{2} \times \frac{X_{co}}{X_{O_{2}}} \right] \times \frac{\dot{m}_{e}}{1 + \varphi \times (\alpha - 1)} \times \frac{M_{O_{2}}}{M_{a}} \times (1 - X_{H_{2}O}^{o}) \times X_{O_{2}}^{o}$$

In which:

HRR_t = total heat release rate, as a function of time (kW)

E = Net heat released for complete combustion per unit of oxygen consumed (adjusted for oxygen contained within cell chemistry, 13,100 kJ/kg)

 E_{CO} = Net heat released for complete combustion per unit of oxygen consumed, for CO (adjusted for oxygen contained within cell chemistry, 17,600 kJ/kg)

 φ = Oxygen depletion factor (non-dimensional), where

$$\varphi = \frac{X_{O_2}^o \times [1 - X_{CO_2} - X_{CO}] - X_{O_2} \times [1 - X_{CO_2}^o]}{X_{O_1}^o \times [1 - X_{O_2} - X_{CO_1} - X_{CO_2}]}$$

 X_{CO} = Measured mole fraction of CO in exhaust flow (non-dimensional)

X_{CO₂} Measured mole fraction of CO₂ in exhaust flow (non-dimensional)

 $X^{\circ}_{CO_2}$ = Measured mole fraction of CO_2 in incoming air (non-dimensional)

 $X^{\circ}_{H_2O}$ = Measured mole fraction of H_2O in incoming air (non-dimensional)

 X_{O_2} = Measured mole fraction of O_2 in exhaust flow (non-dimensional)

 $X^{\circ}_{O_2}$ = Measured mole fraction of O_2 in incoming air (non-dimensional)

 α = Combustion expansion factor (non-dimensional; normally a value of 1.105)

M_a = Molecular weight of incoming and exhaust air (29 kg/kmol)

 M_{O_2} = Molecular weight of oxygen (32 kg/kmol)

 \dot{m}_e = Mass flow rate in exhaust duct (kg/s), in which:

$$\dot{m}_e = C \times \sqrt{\frac{\Delta p}{T_e}}$$

or

$$\dot{m}_e = 26.54 \times \frac{A \times k_c}{f(\text{Re})} \times \sqrt{\frac{\Delta p}{T_e}}$$

C = Orifice plate coefficient (in $kg^{1/2}m^{1/2}K^{1/2}$)

Δp = Pressure drop across orifice plate or bidirectional probe (Pa)

T_e = Combustion gas temperature at orifice plate or bidirectional probe (K)

A = Cross sectional area of the duct (m²)

 k_c = Velocity profile shape factor (non-dimensional)

f(Re) = Reynolds number correction (non-dimensional)



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 19 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 19 of 32

3.4.2 Test result

Peak chemical heat release rate HRR: 9.24kW

Total heat release through the test THR: 7.019 MJ

Figure 8 HRR curve





Figure 9 THR curve





Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 20 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 20 of 32

3.5 Smoke release rate measurement

3.5.1 Test method

The light transmission in the calorimeter's exhaust duct was measured using a white light source and photo detector for the duration of the test.

The smoke release rate was calculated as follows:

$$SRR = 2.303 \left(\frac{V}{D}\right) Log_{10} \left(\frac{I_o}{I}\right)$$

Where:

 $SRR = Smoke \ release \ rate \ (m^2/s)$

V = Volumetric exhaust duct flow rate (m³/s)

D = duct diameter (m)

Io = Light transmission signal of clear (pre-test) beam (V)

I = Light transmission signal during test (V)

The whole smoke release rate measurement system were self-checked using calibrated light filter before test.



Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 21 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 21 of 32

3.5.2 Test result

Peak smoke release rate SRR: 2.8555m²/s

Total smoke release TSR: 2666.84m²

Figure 10 SRR curve





Figure 11 TSR curve





Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 22 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 22 of 32

3.6 Gas generation measurement

3.6.1 Test method

The composition, velocity and temperature of the vent gases were measured within the calorimeter's exhaust duct.

Gas composition were measured using a Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectrometer with a resolution of 0.5 cm⁻¹ and a path length of 5.1 m within the calorimeter's exhaust duct.

The hydrocarbon content of the vent gas was measured using flame ionization detection.

Hydrogen gas was measured with a palladium-nickel thin-film solid state sensor.

Composition, velocity and temperature instrumentation were collocated with heat release rate calorimetry instrumentation

3.6.2 Total gas release


The flow rates of various gases were integrated over the test duration and the total cumulative volume of gas calculated for the total test duration were presented in below table.

Gas type	Gas components		Total volume of gas (L)
Hydrocarbon species	Methane	CH ₄	34.17
	Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	45.26
	Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	9.55
	Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	49.86
	Propane	C₃H ₈	17.62
Others	Carbon Monoxide	СО	33.4
	Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	68.9
	Hydrogen (Palladium nickel thin film solid state sensor)	H ₂	Below detectable limit*
Total Hydrocarbons (equivalent to CH ₄ , measured by FID)			1258.07


3.6.3 Gas components

Concentration of different gas components were present according to gas species classification in Figures 12 to 14. Average flow rate was 2.01 m³/s during test.





















Prüfbericht - Nr.: CN24XVP9 001 Seite 27 von 32
Test Report No.: Page 27 of 32





Figure 14 Total Hydrocarbons (equivalent to CH4, measured by FID):







Test Report No.:

Seite 28 von 32 *Page 28 of* 32

3.7 Photos

Module before test







Test Report No.:

Seite 29 von 32 *Page 29 of 32*



Smoke release during test







Test Report No.:

Seite 30 von 32 *Page 30 of* 32

Sample after test








Test Report No.:

Seite 31 von 32 *Page 31 of* 32







Test Report No.:

Seite 32 von 32 *Page 32 of* 32

Damage of the internal components



End of Test Report